

Views on the review: Process, purpose, scope



**Third meeting of the CEPS Carbon Market Forum
Task Force on CDM Review
Brussels, 15 February 2013**

Dr. Martin Cames
Head Energy & Climate (Berlin)
Schicklerstr. 5-7, 10179 Berlin, Germany
Tel.: +49 (30) 40 50 85-383, Fax: -388
e-mail: m.cames@oeko.de

- **Distinguish**
 - Changes required in the M&P
 - Changes that can be done through CMP guidance
- **Principles**
 - Avoid perverse incentives and signal to noise issues
 - Depart from pure offsetting
- **Clarification**
 - Definition of baseline, baseline scenario and baseline emissions
 - Concept of additionality by relating it to the emission reductions resulting from the project and not the project itself; that emission reduction are additional if they occur due to the registration as a CDM project activity
- **Sustainable development**
 - DOE shall validate that the proposed CDM project activity will not result in a violation of any of the articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)

- Lifetimes of many technologies are shorter than current options (data centers, CFL, BRT, etc.)
- In many cases CDM advances an investment which would be carried out at a later stage anyhow
 - Brownfield: end of the lifetime of existing activity
 - Greenfield: later more efficient technologies available
- Appropriate length depends on
 - Rate of innovation
 - Market and socio-economic development
 - Data availability or validity (BRT)
- Current 2 options are too static and inappropriate for many technologies
- CP must not be longer than project lifetime
- Length of CP should be determined in the methodology
- Only general language, perhaps overall cap of max. 15 years

- Keep additionality tool except for positive lists
- Include CER revenue for certain project types
EB determines and updates prices which are to be used
- Keep prior consideration
- Under SBL exclude projects commissioned before SBL update
- Exclude project types with signal to noise issue
(e.g. super critical coal)
- Limit project size in terms of emission reductions
(would provide room for smaller, disperse projects)
- Include CDM projects after the end of their (shorter) CP
into the BL of new projects and into the set of activities for
determining benchmarks (48c)
- Introduce a rolling reference year for E+/E- policies
(e.g. include all policies adopted > 10 years before submission of
request for registration)

- **EB membership**
 - Current nomination process is intransparent
 - Abolish difference of members and alternates
 - Extend terms from 2 to 3 years
 - Limit number of terms
 - Include representatives from BINGO, RINGO, ENGO
 - Requirements for qualifications (lawyers, economists, etc.)
 - Short list of candidates
 - Selection committee
(would transfer the problem to another layer?)
 - Electronic election by parties from a shortlist
 - Two shortlists for developing/developed countries [50/50%]
- **Streamlining procedures**
 - Allow secretariat to trigger a request for review
 - RIT check of 1% randomly selected positive decisions

Thank you for your attention!



Dr. Martin Cames
Head Energy & Climate (Berlin)
Schicklerstr. 5-7, 10179 Berlin, Germany
Tel.: +49 (30) 40 50 85-383, Fax: -388
e-mail: m.cames@oeko.de